UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 04-6095	
ANTHONY RENELL LEE,		
		Petitioner - Appellant,
versus		
UNKNOWN,		
		Respondent - Appellee.
Appeal from the United District of Virginia, at Judge. (CA-03-1163-AM)		
Submitted: April 29, 2004		Decided: May 5, 2004
Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS,	and SHEDD, Circu	it Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished	per curiam opini	on.
Anthony Renell Lee, Appel	lant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are	not binding pre	ecedent in this circuit.

See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Anthony Renell Lee seeks to appeal from the district court's order dismissing as untimely his petition filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2000). An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2254 proceeding unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that his constitutional claims are debatable and that any dispositive procedural rulings by the district court are also debatable or wrong. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); Slack <u>v. McDaniel</u>, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); <u>Rose v. Lee</u>, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Lee has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED